Case: 2:11-cv-00228-GHD Doc #: 179 Filed: 03/11/15 1 of 2 PageID #: 3148

## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF MISSISSIPPI DELTA DIVISION

EMJ CORPORATION and WESTCHESTER FIRE INSURANCE COMPANY

**PLAINTIFFS** 

HUDSON SPECIALTY INSURANCE COMPANY

v.

**DEFENDANT** 

CIVIL ACTION NO. 2:11-cv-00228-GHD-JMV

## ORDER AND FINAL JUDGMENT

Pursuant to the Court's opinion issued this day, a jury verdict returned on September 26, 2014, and certain prior rulings by the Court on questions of law, it is hereby ORDERED AND ADJUDGED that

- Defendant Hudson Specialty Insurance Company's motion for judgment notwithstanding the verdict or alternatively for new trial [168] is GRANTED IN PART AND DENIED IN PART;
- Plaintiffs EMJ Corporation and Westchester Fire Insurance Company's motion for further relief [165] is DENIED in its entirety;
- 3) Judgment is entered in favor of Plaintiffs EMJ Corporation and Westchester Fire Insurance Company against Defendant Hudson Specialty Insurance Company in the amount of \$666,666.67 plus post-judgment interest at the rate of 0.25%;
- 4) The costs in this proceeding are assessed to Defendant Hudson Specialty Insurance Company, as provided by law, in the amount of \$6,424.45, as detailed in the uncontested Bill of Costs [167] filed by Plaintiffs EMJ Corporation and Westchester Fire Insurance Company; and

5) The Superseding Judgment [153] dated October 1, 2014, heretofore entered in this cause is altered, amended, and superseded to conform herewith.

It is SO ORDERED AND ADJUDGED, this, the // day of March, 2015.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> On September 26, 2014, the Court entered Judgment in a Civil Action [150] beginning with the following language: "Pursuant to a jury verdict returned this date in favor of the Plaintiffs EMJ Corporation and Westchester Fire Insurance Company, judgment is entered . . . . " On October 1, 2014, the Court entered Superseding Judgment in a Civil Action [153], which altered the original Judgment [150] only by adding the phrase "[pursuant to . . . ] certain prior rulings by the Court on questions of law."